



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/606,816

06/26/2003

Sundararajan Sriram

TI-28564A

5491

23494 7590 12/29/2008
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999
DALLAS, TX 75265

EXAMINER

CASCA, FRED A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/29/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/606,816	Applicant(s) SRIRAM, SUNDARARAJAN	
	Examiner FRED A. CASCA	Art Unit 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 13-17 and 25-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 13-17 and 25-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed October 14, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-5, 13-17, and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Independent claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions indicate that a statutory “process” under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. While the instant claim recites a series of steps or acts to be performed, the claim neither transforms underlying subject matter nor positively ties to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore does not qualify as a statutory process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 1-5 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (Applicant's Specification pages 1-5, particularly page 4, line 25 through page 5, line 8, hereinafter "Admitted Art") in view of Nystrom et al (US 6,185,244 B1).

Referring to claim 1, Admitted Art discloses a cell search method for wideband code division multiple access (WCDMA) communication system (Specification page 1 and figures Fig. 1-3C), comprising the steps of receiving a frame of data having a predetermined number of time slots, each time slot being adjacent to another time slot (Specification page 3);

receiving a plurality of data symbols in each respective time slot (page 2 and 3);

and receiving each of a primary, a secondary and a tertiary synchronization code (page 4, line 24 through page 5, line 8, "The TSC is transmitted on a third perch channel at the same symbol time as the FSC and SSC . . . The TSC, however, is transmitted only on eight even numbered time slots [0, 2, 4, . . . , 14] of each frame").

Admitted Art does not specifically disclose receiving the above synchronization code **during a first symbol time in each of predetermined number of time slots.**

Nystrom discloses that receiving synchronization code (e.g., primary, secondary) in each of predetermined number of time slots (Figures 16-22, col. 12, line 8-67, and col. 13, lines 1-25, note that in each slot a primary synch code and a secondary synch code are transmitted. Further note that the concept of transmitting synch codes during a first symbol time is inherent in Nystrom because during any secure data transmission, synch codes should be the first set of data transmitted and as soon as possible, thus it is inherent that synch codes are transmitted during a first symbol time). An advantage of providing each synch code in each time slot during a first symbol time is better security because receiving synch codes during a second or later symbol time could be a little too late and may cause some problems.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the method of Admitted Art by incorporating the teachings of Nystrom and consequently allowing all the three synchronization codes be transmitted during a first symbol time in each slot, as claimed, for the purpose of providing a securer communication system.

Referring to claim 2, the combinations of Admitted Art and Nystrom disclose a method as in claim 1, and further disclose the secondary and the tertiary synchronization codes identify a subset of codes (Admitted Art page 2 and figures 1-3C).

Referring to claim 3, the combinations of Admitted Art and Nystrom disclose a method as in claim 2, and inherently disclose the secondary and tertiary synchronization codes are formed from a predetermined order of synchronization code elements, the predetermined order corresponding to the subset of codes (pages 2-5).

Referring to claim 4, the combination of Admitted Art and Nystrom disclose every element of claim and inherently disclose the secondary and tertiary synchronization codes are formed from a predetermined order of common synchronization code elements (Admitted Art, pages 2-5).

Referring to claim 5, the combination of Admitted Art and Nystrom disclose all elements of claim 1, and inherently disclose a mobile receiver identifies a first time slot of the frame by the tertiary synchronization code (Admitted Art, pages 2-5, note that each timeslot including the first timeslot has a tertiary synch code, thus each first, second and other time slots are identified by the tertiary synch codes).

Referring to claim 25, the combination of Admitted Art and Nystrom disclose all elements of claim 1, and further disclose the secondary synchronization code and the tertiary synchronization code identify subsets of code, (Admitted Art, pages 2-5, note that the secondary code and the tertiary code are subsets of the whole code).

The above combination does not specifically mention that the subsets are disjoint and of the same size.

It would have been an obvious design choice to make the codes disjoint and of same size so that a hacker decoding one code would have to still decode the other code, and further to allocate the same size in the slot/frame for synch codes instead of allocating different slot capacities for different codes, thus increasing security and reducing on the complexity of design.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 13-17 and 25-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred A. Casca whose telephone number is (571) 272-7918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Harper, can be reached at (571) 272-7605. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2617

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/VINCENT P. HARPER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617